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Legalising medical use of cannabis in South Africa: Is the empirical evidence sufficient to support policy shifts in this direction?
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Inkatha Freedom Party MP Mario Oriani-Ambrosini’s impassioned plea to legalise the medical use of cannabis must be understood in the context of his own condition as well as legislative changes in at least ten countries. This article argues that any decisions to shift policy must be based on a consideration of the evidence on the risks and benefits associated with the medical use of cannabis for the individual and broader society. It concludes that there are important gaps in the evidence base, particularly in human trials supporting the efficacy of cannabis use for treating and preventing medical conditions and alleviating negative symptoms associated with these conditions. South African researchers should be enabled actively to support development of the necessary evidence base by conducting preclinical and clinical research in this area. Human trials to establish the efficacy of the use of cannabis/cannabinoids in addressing AIDS wasting syndrome and other negative sequelae of HIV and AIDS are especially needed.


On 19 February 2014, Inkatha Freedom Party MP Mario Oriani-Ambrosini made an impassioned plea to President Zuma and the South African (SA) government to legalise the medical use of cannabis and informed Parliament that he was introducing a private member’s bill, the Medical Innovation Bill, to move this agenda forward. The President responded by indicating that he had asked the Minister of Health to look into the matter.

Medical cannabis refers to the use of cannabis and its constituent cannabinoids, including Δ(9)-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) and cannabinol (CBD), as therapy to treat or alleviate symptoms of medical conditions. In some countries synthetic cannabinoids, such as nabilone (Cesamet) and dronabinol (Marinol), are available for these purposes. Cannabinoids are delivered through various mechanisms, the most obvious being through smoking. However, smoking cannabis can be hazardous to health over the long term because toxic compounds are created in the combustion process. In addition, it is difficult to regulate the amount of cannabinoids being ingested. However, users report that smoking relieves symptoms quickly. Cannabinoids can also be ingested orally, inhaled through vapoourisers (Nabidoilex), and used transdermally and via suppositories. Synthetic cannabis is most often delivered in pill form. Obviously these delivery mechanisms vary in cost and ease of access. In SA, smoking is the cheapest and most easily accessible delivery mechanism as cannabis is widely available to purchase, though not without risk of arrest. Cannabis oil is also available on the black market, and there are recipes on the internet for people seeking to make their own.

Furthermore, medical users of cannabis in 20 states and the District of Columbia in the USA are not prosecuted as long as they are in compliance with the state’s marijuana sale regulations. In contrast, it is currently illegal to possess cannabis or trade in it in SA. We also have no medications approved by the Medicines Control Council that contain THC, other cannabinoids or even synthetic cannabinoids. Cannabis has also not been approved for medical use by the US Federal Drug Administration, largely as a result of three shortcomings: (i) the lack of human clinical trials to show that the benefits outweigh the risks; (ii) inconsistencies in the main chemical compound, particularly when smoked; and (iii) the negative health effects sometimes associated with cannabis use, particularly when smoked. Any decisions on legalising the medical use of cannabis must take into consideration the risk of possible harms that have been demonstrated among some people who regularly use cannabis, the possible effects that legalising medical use of cannabis may have on the non-medical use of the drug, possible impacts on communities and broader society, and the quality of the evidence supporting the medical use of cannabis.

Harms associated with cannabis use

On the first issue, our own research has shown associations between cannabis use and road traffic injuries and other forms of trauma, crime, particularly property crime and murder, and sexual HIV risk behaviours, but the causal mechanisms were not clearly elucidated. Unpublished research conducted in SA using functional magnetic resonance imaging also showed cognitive deficits associated with cannabis use, even after participants had stopped using cannabis for several weeks (Capey R, et al. ‘Functional magnetic resonance imaging (f-MRI) of abstinent cannabis, cannabis/methaqualone users, and normal controls,'
Impact of medical cannabis use on non-medical use

On the second issue, very few studies have examined whether allowing medical use of cannabis impacts on its non-medical use. A large study investigating the relationship between state legalisation of medical cannabis and cannabis use, abuse and dependence in the USA found that states that legalised medical cannabis had higher rates of use, but the authors acknowledged that this association was not necessarily causal. National surveys in Canada have indicated substantial use of cannabis for therapeutic purposes outside of the Health Canada programme, with an order of magnitude of between 13 and 33 persons for every one person in the programme.

Quality of the evidence supporting medical use of cannabis

The third important issue when considering whether to legalise the medical use of cannabis is the quality of the evidence in support of its effects on medical conditions. In his speech in Parliament, Oriani-Ambrìnsi referred to studies from Harvard cited in a Cannabis Position Paper presented to the Central Drug Authority in November 2013. This paper highlights various studies, mainly preclinical but some involving human subjects, that showed positive effects on a variety of conditions including Alzheimer’s disease, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, chronic pain, multiple sclerosis, diabetes mellitus, dystonia, fibromyalgia, incontinence, gastrointestinal disorders and various cancers, including lung cancer, the condition affecting Oriani-Ambrìnsi. Other conditions that have reportedly been positively affected by cannabis use include atopic dermatitis, brain injuries, eating disorders, epilepsy, glaucoma, Huntington’s disease, neuromuscular disorders, rheumatoid arthritis, sleep disorders and Tourette’s syndrome, though in many cases the supportive evidence is equivocal.

Cannabis is used for symptom management in cancer, while there is emerging evidence that cannabinoids may have anticancer effects, particularly antitumour effects. However, few human clinical trials have been published in this area, and more trials are required to achieve certainty that cannabis or cannabinoids can be used as anticancer agents. With regard to pain relief in cancer patients, in experimental models of acute pain, inhaled cannabis resulted in dose-dependent pain relief. In patients with chronic pain, both cannabis and cannabinoids outperformed placebo treatment. However, few studies have compared cannabis or cannabinoids with conventional medications used to manage pain in cancer.

The effects of cannabis or cannabis extracts on AIDS wasting syndrome or weight loss from AIDS have been studied, mainly because cannabis or cannabinoids reportedly stimulate the appetite. A Cochrane review found that the seven studies included in the review suffered from bias and small sample size and lacked longitudinal data, limiting the extent to which conclusions could be drawn. The evidence is stronger regarding the positive effect of cannabinoids in treating chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting in cancer patients. Human studies suggest efficacy of cannabinoids in the management of chemically induced nausea and vomiting, but further research aimed at developing new endocannabinoid-based anti-nausea and anti-emetic therapies is still warranted.

Conclusions

This appears to be a divide between the reported medical benefits of cannabis use and well-executed studies on the benefits and risks of such use. Although evidence from preclinical studies points to the potential for cannabinoids to contribute to symptom alleviation and possible effects on disease status for a number of medical conditions, there are significant gaps in our understanding of the potential benefits and risks associated with their use. Most notable is the lack of evidence from clinical trials. That said, and in line with part of the reasoning behind the proposed legislation, the SA government should make it easier for researchers to conduct studies on the medical use of cannabinoids (including synthetic cannabinoids), and funding should be made available to support this research, especially where it could lead to medical innovation. This research should include: (i) an investigation of factors that led to the policy shifts in countries that have legalised the medical use of cannabis, and what their experiences have been of this policy shift; (ii) maintaining a watching brief on the literature in this area, as advances in scientific knowledge are taking place rapidly; (iii) establishing surveillance systems to assess the possible influences of medical cannabis use on non-medical cannabis use; and (iv) conducting both preclinical and human studies to study the effects of cannabinoids on symptom alleviation and disease status. For the latter, longitudinal studies that investigate the effects of cannabinoid inhalation and ingestion on the quality of life of patients with HIV/AIDS, where SA should lead the way, are especially needed.

In conclusion, while in principle we are open to the idea of cannabis use for medical conditions, we need to strengthen the empirical evidence base in support of the benefits of such use, and find ways to minimise the risk of harms, before we can recommend legalising medical cannabis use in SA.