

JOURNAL OF NORTHWEST SEMITIC LANGUAGES

VOLUME 42/2

2016

EDITORS:

J COOK

I CORNELIUS

G R KOTZÉ

C H J VAN DER MERWE

VOLUME EDITOR:

I CORNELIUS

*at Stellenbosch University
South Africa*

Editorial Board:

Jan Joosten (Oxford), Meir Malul (Haifa), Cynthia Miller-Naudé
(Bloemfontein), Jacobus Naudé (Bloemfontein), Herbert Niehr
(Tübingen), Hermann-Josef Stipp (München), Ernst Wendland (Lusaka),
Arie van der Kooij (Leiden)

Department of Ancient Studies
Stellenbosch University

The *Journal of Northwest Semitic Languages*
(ISSN 0259-0131) is published half-yearly

JNSL is an accredited South African journal. It publishes peer reviewed research articles on the Ancient Near East. As part of the *peer review policy* all contributions are refereed before publication by scholars who are recognised as experts in the particular field of study.

Contributions and books for review should be sent to

The Editor: *JNSL*
Department of Ancient Studies
Stellenbosch University
Private Bag X1, Matieland, ZA-7602
SOUTH AFRICA
e-mail: sakkie@sun.ac.za

Subscriptions should be sent to the same address but marked as

Subscription: *JNSL*

Copyright

Department of Ancient Studies, Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch,
SOUTH AFRICA

House rules

Articles submitted for publication must be according to the house rules on
the homepage

JNSL homepage (house rules, contents, subscription)

<http://academic.sun.ac.za/jnsl/>

ORDER FORM: Journal of Northwest Semitic Languages

Enter me as a subscriber to the JNSL

I enclose the correct amount due

Per Invoice	\$ 70.00
	€55.00
Booksellers	- 30%

Name.....

Address.....

.....Postal code.....

For further subscription information: e-mail Ms L C Swanepoel (ancient7@sun.ac.za)

CONTENTS

Articles

Peter Bekins, The Difficulty with Diagnosing <i>Lamed Objecti</i>	1-22
Jannica de Prenter, Conceptual Blending as an Integrative Approach to Metaphor and Iconography: The Complex Divine Warrior Imagery in Josh 10:10-11 as Case Study	23-49
Marieke Dhont, Stylistic Features in Old Greek Job: An Analysis of Job 5:6-7	51-60
Hikaru Kumon, The Semantic Map of Subordination and its Application to Aramaic יַד in Ezra	61-82
Gudrun Elisabeth Lier, אָן Followed by Proclitic ך in Targum Latter Prophets: A Standard Rendering of Hebrew אִי־יָהּ?	83-101
Paul Sanders, Textual Reconstructions of <i>KTU</i> ² Retracted in <i>KTU</i> ³	103-112
<i>Book Reviews</i>	113-117
<i>Book List</i>	119
<i>Addresses of Contributors</i>	121

Peter Bekins (Hebrew Union College – Jewish Institute of Religion)

**THE DIFFICULTY WITH DIAGNOSING *LAMED*
*OBJECTI****

ABSTRACT

In Official Aramaic, the preposition ל was reanalyzed as a direct object marker. This lamed objecti occurs sporadically in Biblical Hebrew, but it is difficult to differentiate from the ordinary use of ל as a dative marker. The diagnosis is often based on distribution – if there are arguments realized elsewhere as direct objects, then an equivalent term marked by ל is also considered a direct object – but this does not account for the possibility that some verbs may behave irregularly with respect to transitivity. Nevertheless, semantic clues can help differentiate the set of lamed-verbs that may occur in both transitive and intransitive syntactic structures from examples of lamed objecti proper.

Jannica de Prenter (Catholic University of Leuven)

**CONCEPTUAL BLENDING AS AN INTEGRATIVE
APPROACH TO METAPHOR AND ICONOGRAPHY:
THE COMPLEX DIVINE WARRIOR IMAGERY IN JOSH
10:10-11 AS CASE STUDY**

ABSTRACT

An exciting development in Biblical Studies is the rise of iconographic exegesis, an approach that sheds a new light on biblical figurative language by relating ANE iconography to literary tropes in biblical texts. As a number of exemplary studies illustrate (e.g., Klingbeil 1999; Strawn 2005; De Hulster 2009; LeMon 2010), a careful comparison, in which both media are related to one another on the conceptual / cognitive level, shows how biblical textual imagery and ANE iconographic art may express congruent conceptual models. Drawing on recent developments in Cognitive Linguistics, this study explores how the iconographic-biblical approach can be applied to complex conceptual structures that derive from multiple conceptual metaphors.

Marieke Dhont (Université catholique de Louvain)¹

**STYLISTIC FEATURES IN OLD GREEK JOB: AN
ANALYSIS OF JOB 5:6-7²**

ABSTRACT

When a translator claims some artistic freedom in the way in which he handles his source text, as seems to be the case with the Old Greek translator of Job, literary motivations are likely to be able to explain certain translational differences. OG Job has often been characterized as “literary,” but this has not yet been examined in detail. The rendering of Job 5:6-7 provides an intriguing example which allows us to study the literary character of OG Job and demonstrate the value of a sustained analysis of literary phenomena in the Septuagint translations.

Hikaru Kumon (University of Wisconsin)

**THE SEMANTIC MAP OF SUBORDINATION AND ITS
APPLICATION TO ARAMAIC ܕܝ IN EZRA¹**

ABSTRACT

Lexicographic study of Aramaic ܕܝ has either produced a list of its meanings or has assigned it a general meaning. Both have proved inadequate for describing meaning either synchronically or diachronically. This paper will demonstrate the usefulness of the semantic map method for understanding ܕܝ. The method is applied not only to ܕܝ in Ezra and Old Aramaic but also to English subordinate clauses. This method illustrates synchronic, diachronic, and cross-linguistic features of ܕܝ and helps us move beyond simple translation to understand the semantic functions of ܕܝ.

Gudrun Elisabeth Lier (University of Johannesburg)

**אָן FOLLOWED BY PROCLITIC ׀ IN TARGUM LATTER
PROPHETS: A STANDARD RENDERING OF HEBREW
אֵי-יָזָה?**

ABSTRACT

Extant Aramaic grammars are not consistent and systematic in their discussion of the ׀ particle as it occurs throughout the various Aramaic dialects. This study therefore looks at the theory of several developmental stages of the ׀ particle before it took on its proclitic form in Late Aramaic. Moreover, incidences are investigated where the combination ׀ אָן is used in Targum Latter Prophets to render Hebrew noun clauses that commence with the interrogative adverb אֵי-יָזָה (where?). The aim is to establish the status and function of proclitic ׀ in renderings where proclitic ׀ is combined with the antecedent אָן. The hypothesis is put forward that ׀ אָן is a standard combination, which is employed in Jewish Literary Aramaic targumim to render interrogative verbal clauses that match the Hebrew compound word אֵי-יָזָה.

Paul Sanders (PThU Amsterdam)¹

TEXTUAL RECONSTRUCTIONS OF KTU² RETRACTED IN KTU³

ABSTRACT

This article discusses some of the few reconstructions of Ugaritic texts in KTU² that were not repeated in KTU³. Some illuminating examples of the withdrawal of older reconstructions and the introduction of new ones are described, with the aim of showing when the inclusion of textual reconstruction in a text edition can be justifiable and when not. It is demonstrated that a text edition should not include hypothetical reconstructions in the main text. Footnotes are a better means to show which reconstructions have been proposed. They can also be used to specify which interpretations of damaged letters are possible.

BOOK REVIEWS

Battini, L (ed.) 2016. *Making Pictures of War: Realia et imaginaria in the Iconology of the Ancient Near East* (Archaeopress Ancient Near Eastern Archaeology 1). Oxford: Archaeopress. ISBN 9781784914035, 9781784914042 (e-PDF). xi+88 pages. 73 figures. Printed price: £24.00, EPublication price: £19.00.

This book is a publication of the main papers presented at an international conference titled *Iconographie de la guerre dans le monde syro-mésopotamien, IIIe-Ie mill av. J.-C.* that took place on 4 December 2012 in Lyon, France. There are an introduction and seven papers, all of which add to the study of war iconography in Syro-Mesopotamia.

In the “Introduction: The War and Its Representations” (pp. 1-3), Laura Battini notes that there have been several articles investigating how war is represented in the visual sources of the Neo-Assyrian period, but that the earlier periods have been little studied (p. 1). It is interesting then that of the seven articles, two discuss the Neo-Assyrian period, one the Middle Assyrian period and how the war iconography of this period prefigures that of the Neo-Assyrian period, one the Old Babylonian period, two study war iconography at Mari, and one covers the third to first millennia BCE. While three millennia are therefore covered in this book, it is curious that the third millennium in Mesopotamia is not studied in a separate paper, as there are many examples of war iconography from this period, for example, the Standard of Ur, Eannatum’s Stele of the Vultures, and the various Akkadian war monuments. However, Nigro (1998a and 1998b) has given great contributions to the study of Akkadian war iconography, and Bänder’s (1995) monograph on the Victory Stele of Naram-Sin covers this monument in depth. There have also been a number of studies on Eannatum’s Stele of the Vultures, as for example the reconstructions by Winter (2010b), Barrelet (1970) and Romano (2007), and the recent article by Nadali (2014), who also provides the final paper of the present volume, on the amount of soldiers represented on the stele. Battini also states that the papers published in this volume reveal “the relevance of textual data to any analysis of iconological material. And this is not only true for iconology, but for all the archaeological material discovered in historical sites” (p. 2). It was disappointing to read this statement in a book on iconology/iconography, as it undermines the importance of visual and archaeological material as a separate source of evidence. As Asher-Greve and Westenholz (2013:8) state, “the tendency to treat images merely as illustrations of texts or texts as clues to better understand images are methods that do not appreciate images as independent media with its own ‘language’ and sources for inspiration”. Furthermore, Battini’s statement is debatable, as not all of the papers in the volume make use of textual sources.

In the first paper, “Some Observations on the War Scenes on the Seals from Mari City II (pp. 5-12), Dominique Beyer relooks at the findings of his 2007 paper, discussing the differences between the scenes depicted on the two seals of King Ishqi-Mari, and publishing an updated version of the scene depicted on seal version B. Overall, the work is well researched and argued, but there are some points to note. On p. 6, Beyer suggests that the scorpion on seal version A represents Išhara (Ishharra by Beyer). However, while the scorpion is labelled as the symbol of this goddess on Kassite period *kudurrus*, this appears to be the earliest attestation of the scorpion as a symbol of a deity (Seidl 1957-1971:488). Furthermore, Išhara was first associated with the *bašmu*, a snake or hydra, and not the scorpion (Lambert 1976-1980:177). It is therefore unlikely that the scorpion depicted on seal version A represents Išhara. On p. 7, Beyer suggests that the scenes on the two seals represent a specific battle and victory of Ishai-Mari, and states that he will return to the matter. However, on p. 10 he states that the possibility of the scenes representing a specific battle “has already been raised and can have multiple answers”, and he provides further literature in a footnote. One would have liked to have read some more in this article on the subject. Also on p. 10, Beyer discusses the head depicted above the chariot on seal version B, suggesting that it is represented as upside-down so that it could not be confused with a living occupant of the chariot. Further support for this head being symbolic of a deceased enemy is Collon’s (1986:74) assertion that inversion signifies death.

In the second paper, “Elements of War Iconography at Mari” (pp. 13-28), Béatrice Muller discusses how war is represented at the city of Mari in different media such as shell inlays, plaques and wall paintings. This discussion includes the different costumes and weapons used and the iconographic context within which these are found, as well as what Muller calls “iconographic syntax”, i.e., how various iconographic elements work together and relate to each other. In this respect, her insights into how military hierarchy may be depicted on shell inlays (pp. 20-22) is particularly interesting. She also discusses the overall compositions and significance of war imagery at Mari and the place of Mari in ancient Near Eastern war iconography. Here her suggestion that chariots depicted in the mosaic shell panels may represent moving chariots actually involved in battle, rather than stationary vehicles (p. 25) is compelling and well argued. In the conclusion of this article, the ways in which war iconography at Mari prefigures later war iconography of Assyria and Persia are also given (pp. 26-27).

The third paper, “Visualizing War in the Old Babylonian Period: Drama and Canon” (pp. 29-36) by Silvana Di Paolo, unfortunately has many spelling/typing errors and grammatical errors, which, at times, make it difficult to read. There are interesting sections on how conflicts can be traced in year names during the Isin-Larsa period, which Di Paolo links with the stele of Dadusha of Eshnunna (pp. 29-31), acts

of violence committed as a ritual of war, and how this is visible on a series of Old Babylonian seals (pp. 31-33), and the motif of the trampling ruler (pp. 33-35). Di Paolo gives a very important reason for representing concepts visually when she states that “one can communicate visually with much more force and immediacy than verbal communication allows” (p. 33).

“Middle Assyrian Drama in Depicting War: A Step towards Neo-Assyrian Art” (pp. 37-44) by Laura Battini discusses the limited amount of iconographic material for war from the Middle Assyrian period and how these prefigure and inspire Neo-Assyrian examples of war iconography. The Middle Assyrian iconographic material is limited to a pyxis lid, the altar of Tukulti-Ninurta I, the Broken Obelisk, and some cylinder seals. It is an important paper, as studies into Assyrian war iconography usually focus on the Neo-Assyrian period.

In the fifth paper, “‘Losing one’s head’: Some hints on the procedures and meanings of decapitation in the ancient Near East” (pp. 45-56), Rita Dolce discusses the significance of decapitation during war as represented in the visual record of Mesopotamia and Syria. Her primary focus is during the period of the third to first millennia BCE, but mention is also made of earlier examples from the Uruk period, and there is a short excursus on decapitation in Çatalhöyük in iconography as well as archaeology (p. 50). Various aspects of the treatment of severed heads in the visual sources are discussed, including how decapitated heads were used as display objects, how and why decapitated heads were counted, and the removal of severed heads by both humans and vultures.

The sixth paper, Ariel Bagg’s “Where is the Public? A New Look at the Brutality Scenes in Neo-Assyrian Royal Inscriptions and Art” (pp. 57-82) is the longest paper of the collection. It includes many tables and an appendix, a “catalogue of brutality scenes in Neo-Assyrian art” with 56 brutality scenes (pp. 70-82). The paper examines the representation in both royal inscriptions and iconographic material of atrocities committed by the Neo-Assyrians after a battle or siege, as well as the audience for which the two types of source were intended. The iconographic material is fragmentary and limited to palace reliefs, the bands on the Balawat Gates, and wall paintings from Til-Barsip. The atrocities committed by the Neo-Assyrians are discussed in terms of who they were committed against – soldiers, members of the elite, and civilians. Bagg reaches some interesting conclusions about the acts of brutality themselves versus how these are represented in both text and image. There could have been more of a comparison between the depiction of the atrocities in the written and visual sources, but both were well discussed individually. Bagg argues convincingly against the royal inscriptions and iconographic material being propaganda, as the intended audience for these was future kings and deities, and not the Assyrian people and the enemies of the Neo-Assyrian empire.

This argument is also taken up by Davide Nadali in the final paper of the collection, “Images of War in the Assyrian Period: What They Show and What They Hide” (pp. 83-88), who, as Bagg, argues convincingly that images of war during the Neo-Assyrian period were directed to future kings and the deities, and were not primarily intended as propaganda. In this paper, Nadali discusses what type of scenes related to war are omitted in Neo-Assyrian art, and why this omission occurs. These types of scenes include losses incurred by the Neo-Assyrian army and “all logistical aspects of preparing for war” (p. 84).

Although there are some limitations to this volume as a study in war iconography, for example the lack of a separate paper on third millennium Mesopotamia and on prehistoric sources, the papers included are of a high standard, and the book is very well illustrated with 73 figures. It is a welcome addition to the study of ancient Near Eastern war iconography, and anybody interested in war and war iconography in Syro-Mesopotamia should consider acquiring it.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Asher-Greve, J K & Westenholz, J G 2013. *Goddesses in Context: On Divine Powers, Roles, Relationships and Gender in Mesopotamian Textual and Visual Sources*. OBO 259. Fribourg / Göttingen: Fribourg Academic Press / Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.
- Bänder, D 1995. *Die Siegestele des Naramsîn und ihre Stellung in Kunst- und Kulturgeschichte* (Beiträge zur Kunstgeschichte Band 103). Idstein: Schulz-Kirchner Verlag.
- Barrelet, M-T 1970. Peut-on remettre en question la “resitution matérielle de la Stèle des Vautours”? *JNES* 29/4, 233-258.
- Beyer, D 2007. Les sceaux de Mari au III^e millénaire: Observations sur la documentation ancienne et les données nouvelles des villes I et II. *Akh Purattim* 1:249-260.
- Collon, D 1986. *Catalogue of Western Asiatic seals in the British Museum: Cylinder seals III: Isin-Larsa and Old Babylonian periods*. London: British Museum Publications.
- Lambert, W G 1976-1980. Išhara. *RIA* 5, 176-177.
- Nadali, D 2014. How Many Soldiers on the “Stele of the Vultures”? A Hypothetical Reconstruction. *Iraq* 76, 141-148.
- Nigro, L 1998a. Visual Role and Ideological Meaning of the Enemies in the Royal Akkadian Relief, in: Prosecky, J (ed.). *Intellectual Life of the Ancient Near East:*

Papers Presented at the 43rd Rencontre assyriologique internationale Prage, July 1-5, 1996. Prague: Oriental Institute, 283-298.

Nigro, L 1998b. The Two Steles of Sargon: Iconology and Visual Propaganda at the Beginning of Royal Akkadian Relief. *Iraq* 60, 85-102.

Romano, L 2007. La Stele Degli Avvoltoi: Una rilettura critica. *Vicino Oriente XIII*, 3-23.

Seidl, U 1957-1971. Göttersymbole und -attribute. A. Archäologisch. I. Mesopotamien, *RIA* 3, 484-490.

Winter, I J 2010. After the Battle is Over: The Stele of the Vultures and the Beginning of Historical Narrative in the Art of the Ancient Near East, in: Winter, I J (ed.). *On Art in the Ancient Near East. Vol. 2: From the Third Millennium B.C.E.* (Culture and History of the Ancient Near East Volume 34/2). Leiden / Boston: Brill, 3-51.

Renate van Dijk-Coombes
Stellenbosch University